

Journal homepage: http://www.bsu.edu.eg/bsujournals/JVMR.aspx



Online ISSN: 2357-0520

Print ISSN: 2357-0512

### **Original Research Article**

# Bacteriological studies on calves affected with respiratory manifestations

### El-Seedy, F.R.<sup>a</sup>, Abed, A.H.<sup>a, \*</sup>; Hassan, H.M.<sup>b</sup>; Nabih, A.M.<sup>b</sup>; Khalifa, E.<sup>c</sup> and Salem, S.E.<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Egypt.

<sup>b</sup> Animal Reproduction Research Institute, Giza, Egypt.

<sup>c</sup> Microbiology Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Matrouh University, Egypt.

#### ABSTRACT

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD) is the most common and costly disease affecting beef cattle calves in the world. The objectives of this study were to put a high light on epidemiology of *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* as important causes of bovine respiratory disease (BRD) in Egypt Governorates: Giza.El-Favoum.Beni-Suef, Assiut and Sohag. A total number of 406 deep nasal swabs and blood samples were collected from 406 bovine calves suffered from respiratory manifestations reared in different Governorates in Egypt. Bacteriological examination was achieved and isolation of P. multocida and M. haemolytica were attained. The overall prevalence of bothP. multocida and M. haemolytica was of 26.6%; 18.2% for P. multocida and 8.4% for M. haemolytica. EL-Fayoum Governorate showed the highest prevalences while Beni-Suef Governorate showed the lowest prevalences. P. multocida was singly isolated from 4.9% of cases. While it was mixed with S. aureus, E. coli, Streptococcus spp., both S. aureus and E. coli, both S. aureus and Streptococcus spp. and both E. coli and Streptococcus spp. with percentages of 4%, 1.2%, 2.2%, 1.7%, 3.2% and 1.0%, respectively. Meanwhile, M. haemolytica was isolated as a single isolate from 1.7% of cases while it was mixed with S. aureus, Streptococcus spp., both S. aureus and Streptococcus spp. and both E. coli and Streptococcus spp. with percentages of 2.7%, 1.2%, 2.5% and 0.2%, respectively. In conclusion respiratory manifestations are very important disorders in bovine calves. P. multocida and M.haemolytica are the most common bacteria causing infection.

ARTICL	E INFO
--------	--------

Article history: Received 3/2019 Accepted 4/2019

Online 4/2019

#### Keywords:

*P. multocida, M. haemolytica,* Bovine respiratory disease, prevalence

\*Corresponding author: *Department* of Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef 62511, Egypt. Email: aboabedelmasry@yahoo.com, ahmed.moawad@vet.bsu.edu.eg

#### 1. Introduction

Bovine respiratory disease (BRD) is one of the most common diseases affecting beef cattle calves allover the world causing great economic losses due to reduction of average daily gain, feed efficiency, overall performance of beef calves and finally calves mortality (Härtel *et al.*, 2004 and Taylor *et al.*, 2010). The incidence of BRD has been reported with variability from 5% to 66% in feedlot cattle and it is the most costly beef cattle disease as the costs are associated with BRD prevention, treatment, morbidity, and mortality rate (Snowder*et al.*, 2006).

BRD in calves is often referred to as a 'multifactorial disease' meaning that besides infectious agents, a multitude of environmental and managemental factors and their interactions are responsible for the outbreak of disease (Kabetaet al., 2015). Many of the infectious agents commonly involved in calf pneumonia are common inhabitants of the nasal passages of healthy animals. Many factors can weaken the host's immune system and/or damage the lining of the respiratory tract to such an extent that these pathogens are able to progress deeper into the respiratory tract and cause disease(Lopez, 2001). Any one or a combination of the environmental and management factors can make calves more susceptible to disease. Bacterial infections causing pneumonia in calves can possibly be fatal.

Pasteurella (P.) multocida and Mannheimia (M.) haemolytica are the two bacteria most commonly associated with pneumonia in cattle calves. Numerous other bacteria can also cause pneumonia including Mycoplasma, Pseudomonas, Corynebacteria, Staphylococcus, Hemophilus, E. *coli*, Streptococcus, Bordetella, Neisseria, Erysipelothrix and Fusobacterium (Aly*et al.*, 1990 and Asaye *et al.*, 2015).

Pneumonic pasteurellosis refers to any of the disease conditions caused by bacteria of the genera Pasteurella or Mannheimia especially*P*. *multocida* and *M. haemolytica* are most commonly associated with pneumonia in cattle calves (Adamu and Ameh, 2007 and Asaye*et al.*, 2015). The disease manifests itself most often in calves within four weeks of weaning, when calves are sorted and often sold to different farms. This gives it a common nickname, "Shipping Fever" (Snowder *et al.*, 2006).

The objective of this study was to put a high light on epidemiology of *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* as important causes of bovine respiratory disease in Egypt.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Animals

A total number of 406 pneumonic bovine calves reared in different Governorates (Giza, EL-Fayoum, Beni-Suef, Assiut and Sohag) were examined during the period from January 2017 till December 2017 (Table 1).

#### 2.2. Samples

A total number of 406 deep nasal swabs collected under aseptic conditionsfor bacteriological examination from 406 calves affected with respiratory manifestation. Also, blood samples were collected from each calf for bacteriological investigation using Leishman's stain for detection of Pasteurella bipolarity.

Coverneretes	Number of affected	Number of co	llected samples	
Governorates	animals	Nasal swab	Blood sample	
 Giza	<b>69(17.0%)</b>	69	69	
El-Fayoum	106(26.2%)	106	106	
<b>Beni-Suef</b>	83(20.4%)	83	83	
Assiut	87(21.4%)	87	87	
Sohag	61(15.0%)	61	61	

406

Table 1. Number of animal and samples collected from respiratory affected bovine calves from different

#### 2.3. Bacteriological examination

Total

Isolations of *P. multocida*, *M. haemolytica* and other bacteria were done according to Collee *et al.* (1996) and Quinn *et al.* (2002). The collected nasal swabs were inoculated under aseptic conditions into

brain heart infusion broth (BHIB) and incubated aerobically at 37C for 6-8 hrs. A loopful from broth was cultured onto blood agar, MacConkey's agar and DAS media then incubated aerobically at 37C for 24 hrs. Cultivation of other bacteria were achieved

406

406

using nutrient agar, MacConkey's agar, blood agar; eosin methylene blue media (EMB), mannitol salt agar; Baird Parker agar and modified Edward's media then incubated aerobically at 37C for 24-48 hrs. All the recovered isolates were identified morphologically using Gram's stain.

#### 2.4. Blood smears

Two blood films were freshly prepared from each examined calf and stained with Leishman's stain for detection of Pasteurella bipolarity.

### **2.5.** Biochemical identification of the bacterial isolates

All the recovered isolates were identified biochemically according to schemes described by Kreig and Holt (1984), Collee *et al.* (1996) and Quinn *et al* (2002). The suspected isolates of *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* were tested for haemolysis on blood agar and growth on MacConkey's agar as well as biochemical test; oxidase, catalase, indole, triple sugar iron agar

medium, citrate utilization and sugar fermentation (glucose, lactose, sucrose and mannitol) tests.

#### 3. Results

### **3.1.** Results of isolation and characterization of *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica*

*P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* bacteria appear under microscope with Gram's stained smears as Gram-negative coccobacilli or rods and non-spore forming. Meanwhile by Leishman's stain of blood smears bacteria appears light blue with dark blue bipolarity in both sides of coccobacilli or rods.

### **3.2.** Biochemical and other characters of *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica*

Results of biochemical characters of both *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* were recorded in table (2).

#### Table 2. Biochemical and other characters of P.multocida and M.haemolytica.

<b>Biochemical test</b>	P.multocida	M.haemolytica
Haemolysis on blood agar	- (No haemolysis)	Beta-haemolysis
Growth on MacConkey	-	+
Indole production	+	-
Oxidase	+	+
Catalase	+	+
Citrate	-	-
Glucose fermentation	+	+
Sucrose fermentation	+	+
Lactose fermentation	-	+
Mannitol fermentation	+	+
H <sub>2</sub> S production	-	-

### **3.3.** Prevalence of *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* in different Governorates

26.6% arranged as 74/406 for *P. multocida* with a percentage of 18.2% and 34/406 for *M. haemolytica* with a percentage of 8.4%. The detailed data for Governorates were shown in table (3).

The overall prevalence of both*P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* was 108/406 with a percentage of

 Table 3. Prevalence of P.multocida and M. haemolytica isolates in different Governorates.

Commence	No. of	P. mu	ltocida	M. haemoly	tica	Total		
Governorates	samples	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Giza	69	13	18.8	6	8.7	19	27.5	
El-Fayoum	106	22	20.8	10	9.4	32	30.2	
Beni-Suef	83	11	13.3	5	6.0	16	19.3	
Assiut	87	16	18.4	8	9.2	24	27.6	
Sohag	61	12	19.7	5	8.2	17	27.9	
Total	406	74	18.2	34	8.4	108	26.6	

%: Percentages were calculated according to the corresponding No. of samples.

## **3.4.** Prevalence of single and mixed *P. multocida* infections in different Governorates

Totally in all studied Governorates, *P. multocida* was singly isolated from 20 cases (4.9%). While in case of mixed infection; it was isolated with *S. aureus* from 16 infected calves (4%). Also, it was

mixed with *E. coli*, *Streptococcus* spp., both *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, both *S. aureus* and *Streptococcus* spp. and both *E. coli* and *Streptococcus* spp. as follow: 5 cases (1.2%), 9 cases (2.2%), 7 cases (1.7%), 13 cases (3.2%) and 4 cases (1.0%), respectively (Table 4).

Table 4. Prevalence of single and mixed *P. multocida* infections in different Governorates.

Governorates	Giza		El-Fayoum		Beni-Suef		Assiut		Sohag		Total	
	(No.= 69)		(No.= 106)		(No.= 83)		(No.= 87)		(No.= 61)		(No.= 406)	
Isolates	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%
P. multocida (Single isolate)	4	5.8	6	5.7	3	3.6	5	5.7	2	3.3	20	4.9
P. multocida+ S. aureus	3	4.4	5	4.7	3	3.6	3	3.5	2	3.3	16	4.0
P. multocida+ E. coli	1	1.4	2	1.9	0	0	1	1.1	1	1.6	5	1.2
P. multocida+ Streptococci.	1	1.4	3	2.8	1	1.2	2	2.3	2	3.3	9	2.2
P. multocida+ S. aureus+ E. coli	2	2.9	2	1.9	1	1.2	1	1.1	1	1.6	7	1.7
P. multocida+ S. aureus+ Streptococci	2	2.9	3	2.8	2	2.4	3	3.5	3	4.9	13	3.2
P. multocida+ E. coli + Streptococci	0	0	1	0.9	1	1.2	1	1.1	1	1.6	4	1.0
Total	13	18.8	22	20.8	11	13.3	16	18.4	12	19.7	74	18.2

%: Percentages were calculated according to the corresponding No. of samples in each Governorate.

# **3.5.** Prevalence of single and mixed *M. haemolytica* infections in different Governorates

The results were presented in table (5) where *M. haemolytica* was isolated as a single isolate from 7 cases (1.7%). There was no mixed *M. haemolytica* isolates neither with *E. coli* nor with both *E. coli* and

*S. aureus* in all Governorates. Totally, it was mixed with *S. aureus*, *Streptococcus* spp., both *S. aureus* and *Streptococcus* spp. and both *E. coli* and *Streptococcus* spp. as follow: 11 cases (2.7%), 5 cases (1.2%), 10 cases (2.5%) and one case (0.2%), respectively.

#### Table 5. Prevalence of single and mixed M. haemolytica infections in different Governorates.

Governorates	Giza		Giza El-Fayoum		Beni-Suef		Assiut		Sohag		Total	
	(No.= 69)		(No.= 106)		(No.=83)		(No.= 87)		(No.= 61)		(No.= 406)	
Isolates	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%	NO.	%
M. haemolytica (Single isolate)	1	1.4	3	2.8	1	1.2	2	2.3	0	0	7	1.7
M. haemolytica + S. aureus	2	2.9	3	2.8	1	1.2	3	3.5	2	3.3	11	2.7
M. haemolytica + Streptococci.	1	1.4	1	0.9	1	1.2	1	1.1	1	1.6	5	1.2
<i>M. haemolytica</i> + <i>S. aureus</i> + Streptococci	2	2.9	2	1.9	2	2.4	2	2.3	2	3.3	10	2.5
<i>M. haemolytica</i> + <i>E. coli</i> + Streptococci	0	0	1	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2
Total	6	8.7	10	9.4	5	6.0	8	9.2	5	8.2	34	8.4

%: Percentages were calculated according to the corresponding No. of samples in each Governorate.

#### 4. Discussion

Bovine Respiratory Disease (BRD) is a multifactorial disease, usually resulting from the interaction of bacterial and viral agents, combined with stress factors resulting in bronchopneumonia (Ellis, 2009 and Kabeta*et al.*, 2015). One of the challenges of bovine respiratory medicine is early detection of clinical cases of BRD.

In current investigation, the prevalences of P. *multocida* and M. *haemolytica* in different Governorates were investigated and presented in table (3). The results revealed that the overall prevalence of both *P*. *multocida* and М. haemolyticawas 26.6% arranged as 18.2% and 8.4% for P. multocidaand M. haemolytica, respectively. These results were nearly similar to those reported by Zakiet al. (2002) who isolated P. multocida in a high prevalence rate (19.9%) in comparison to P. haemolytica (8.8%) from 226 lung sample taken from pneumonic calves aging 1 day to 2 month old in Kaliobia and Sharkia Governorates. Higher prevalences were obtained by El-Jakeeet al. (2016) who isolated 88 P. multocida isolates from 256

nasopharyngeal swabs and lung tissues samples (34.4%) from different Governorates in Egypt. It was found that dead calves showed the highest percentage of *P. multocida* isolation followed by the emergency slaughtered calves, diseased calves then apparently healthy ones. Also, Ahmed *et al.* (2015) estimated the prevalence of *Pasteurella* spp. in upper respiratory tract of cattle. Theprevalence of *Pasteurella* spp. isolation was38.88%. *P. multocida* prevalence was 30% while *M. haemolytica* prevalence was 20%.

In the same context Aberaet al. (2014) found that the overall percentage of P. multocida (39.3%) and M. haemolytica (46.4%), this results were higher than that mentioned by this study but this may possibly be due to the fact that The etiology of pneumonia is complex and multifactorial, thus the low rate of isolation of *P. multocida* and *M.* haemolvtica from the examined animals in the current investigation may be due to other incriminated causes this results is supported by the results obtained byGaroiaet al. (1982). On the other hand, lower prevalences were recorded by Lasisiet al. (2016) who investigated the prevalence of pneumonic pasteurellosis-caused by P. multocida and M. haemolytica in cattle and isolated P. multocida from six unhealthy lung tissue samples (7.22%) while *M. haemolytica* was isolated from one (1.22%) unhealthy lung tissue sample only.

Regarding to the Governorates, EL-Fayoum Governorate showed the highest overall prevalence as 30.2% meanwhile, Beni-Suef Governorate showed the lowest as 19.3%. The highest prevalence of *P. multocida* infection was in EL-Fayoum Governorate (20.8%) while lowest prevalence was in Beni-Suef Governorate (13.3%). Also, the highest prevalence of *M. haemolytica* infection was in El-Fayoum as 9.4% and the lowest prevalence was in Beni-Suef as 6.0%. These findings may be attributed to the different hygienic measures and stress factors in different Governorates.

Prevalence of single and mixed *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* infections in different Governorates was illustrated in tables (4& 5). Totally, *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* were singly isolated from 4.9% and 1.7% of cases, respectively. Regarding mixed infections, mixing of *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* isolates with *S. aureus* was the most Prevalent as 4% and 2.7%, respectively, followed by the mixed infections with both *S. aureus* and *Streptococcus* species as 3.2% and 2.5%,

respectively. Then, the mixed infections with Streptococcus species as 2.2% 1.2%. and respectively. The current findings may be due to presence of S. aureus and Streptococcus species as normal flora on the skin and oropharynx which may be flourished and causing diseases as a result of bad hygienic measures or environmental and managemental stresses or anyfactors weakening the host's immune system and/or damage the lining of the respiratory tract to such an extent that these pathogens are able to progress deeper into the respiratory tract and cause disease(Kabetaet al., 2015 an Lopez, 2001).

Finally, results of current investigation are on the same line of that recorded by Radostits*et al.*, (2007). They found that *P. multocida* causes an acute fibrinous bronchopneumonia in calves, these pathogens have adapted to parasitic life in the upper respiratory tract epithelia of apparently healthy animals.

#### 5. Conclusion

It was concluded that respiratory manifestations are a very important phenomena in bovine calves. *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* are the most common bacteria causing infection as the rate of infection with *P. multocida* and *M. haemolytica* were higher in bovine calves affections.

#### References

- Abera, D.; Sisay, T. and Birhanu, T. (2014). Isolation and identification of *Mannheimia* and *Pasteurella* species from pneumonic and apparently healthy cattle and their antibiogram susceptibility pattern in Bedelle District, Western Ethiopia. J. Bacteriol. Res., 6(5):32-41.
- Adamu, J. Y. and Ameh, J. A. (2007).Pasteurellosis to Mannheimiosis: Taxonomic Changes. Nigerian Vet. J., 28(1):75-79.
- Ahmed, W. A.; Al-Rubaei, E. M. and Majeed, S. A. (2015). Prevalence of *Pasteurella* spp. in apparently healthy cattle and buffaloes herd in Baghdad governorate, Iraq. Al-Anbar J. Vet. Sci., 8(1):1-5.
- Aly, A.; Mahmoud, E. and Osama, A. (1990). Some studies on respiratory troubles in fattening buffalo steers. Zagaig Vet. J., 18 (4):151-164.
- Asaye, M.; Biyazen, H. and Bezie, M. (2015).Isolation and characterization of respiratory tract bacterial species from domestic

animals with pneumonic lungs from Elphora Abattoir, Ethiopia. Inter. J. Microbiological Res., 6(1):13-19.

- Collee, J. G., Fraser, A. G., Marmion, B. P. and Simmons, A. (1996). Practical Medical Microbiology. 14<sup>th</sup> Ed.
- Ellis, J.A. (2009). Update on viral pathogenesis in BRD. Animal Health Research Reviews, 10(2):149-153.
- El-Jakee, J. K.; Ali, S. S.; El-Shafii, S. A.; Hessain, A. M.; Al-Arfaj, A. A. and Mohamed, M. I. (2016). Comparative studies for serodiagnosis of haemorrhagicsepticaemia in cattle sera. Saudi J. Biological Sci., 23(1):48-53.
- Garoia, M.; Sandu, I.; Istrate, N. and Farvr, C. (1982). Haemophilus like bacteria; isolated from calves and lambs. RevistadeCrestereaAnimaleleler, 32(3):50-55.
- Härtel, H.; Nikunen, S.; Neuvonen, E.; Tanskanen, R.; Kivelä, S-L.; Aho, P.; Soveri, T. and Saloniemi, H. (2004). Viral and bacterial pathogens in bovine respiratory disease in Finland. ActaVeterinariaScandinavica, 45(3-4):193-200.
- Kabeta, T.; Fikadu, T.; Zenebe, T. and Kebede, G. (2015). Review on the Pneumonic Pasteurellosis of Cattle Acad. J. Anim. Dis., 4(3): 177-184, 2015
- Kreig, N. R. and Holt, J. G. (1984).Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Vol.1. Williams and Wilkins. Baltimore.
- Lasisi, O. T.; Obiekwe, U. C. and Amosun, E. A. (2016). The prevalence of pneumonic pasteurellosis-causing microbes: *Pasteurella multocida* and *Mannheimia haemolytica* in abattoir samples in Nigeria. Nat. Sci., 14(7):66-71.
- Lopez, A. (2001). Respiratory system, thoracic cavity and pleura. In: Thomson's Special Veterinary Pathology, 3rd Ed., eds M. D.
- Quinn, P. J., Markey, B. K., Carter, M. E., Donnelly, W. J. C., Leonard, F. C. and Maguire, D., (2002). Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Disease. Published by Blackwell. PP. 113-116.
- Radostits, O. M.; Gay, C. C.; Hinchcliff, K. W. and Constable, P. D. (2007). Veterinary medicine: A textbook of the diseases of cattle, horses, sheep, pigs and goats. 10<sup>th</sup>Ed. Saunders Ltd, Philadelphia, USA.
- Snowder, G. D.; Van Vleck, L. D.; Cundiff, L. V.; Bennett, G. L. (2006)."Bovine respiratory disease

in feedlot cattle: Environmental, genetic, and economic factors". J. Anim. Sci., 84 (8): 1999–2008.

- Taylor, J. D.; Fulton, R. W.; Lehenbauer, T. W.; Step, D. L. and Confer, A. W. (2010). The epidemiology of bovine respiratory disease: What is the evidence for predisposing factors. The Canadian Veterinary Journal, 51(10):1095–1102.
- Zaki, E. R.; Tanios, A. I.; Hafez, N. M. and Yanni, A. A. (2002). Studies on *Pasteurella* species in buffalo calves. J. Egy. Vet. Med. Ass., 62(6A):111-118.